IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA MACON DIVISION

JIMMY LUKE GILES.

Petitioner

VS.

JAMES DONALD, COMMISSIONER,

Respondent

NO. 5: 04-CV-304 (CAR)

PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 BEFORE THE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RECOMMENDATION OF DISMISSAL

On April 8, 2005, the respondent in the above-captioned case filed a Motion To Dismiss For Lack Of Exhaustion. Tab #8. Thereafter, on March 10, 2005, the undersigned advised petitioner of said motion and his duty to respond properly thereto. Petitioner was further advised that failure to respond within twenty (20) days would result in a recommendation of dismissal. Tab #11. The petitioner has failed to respond to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS RECOMMENDED that the petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus be **DISMISSED** for failure to respond to the court's order. Further, IT IS RECOMMENDED that the respondent's Motion To Dismiss For Lack Of Exhaustion (Tab #8) be **GRANTED** as UNCONTESTED. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) the parties may serve and file written objections to this RECOMMENDATION with the district judge to whom the case is assigned **WITHIN TEN** (10) **DAYS** after being served with a copy thereof. The clerk is directed to serve the plaintiff with a copy of this recommendation by mailing it to the **LAST ADDRESS** provided by him.

SO RECOMMENDED, this 27th day of MAY, 2005.



CLAUDE W. HICKS, JR. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Marke W. Sty